Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester.

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025.

You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is very controversial - and widely opposed - locally. It is very important that local people - and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services - are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent response.

It is clearly unreasonable for this to be considered "urgent". The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation.

Can I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an urgent application and instead insist that the usual planning process is followed, so local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us far more than any Secretary of State in London?

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

Tel [redacted]

[redacted] I have observed BATS a uk endangered species flying in and out of the MOD Site Area in the evenings possibly roosting on this site [redacted] in the abandoned storage buildings as it is well known the MOD Land all over this country is a natural area for endangered species to survive and slowly these sites are disappearing to housing development etc which is a serious ecological concern As the MOD Land is public land owned by the public but secured and protected on a freehold leasehold or trust basis I feel my non registered titled ownership gives me as a concerned person a important human right to express my opinion to say NO to this proposed so called urgent planning approval there are plenty of alternatives to this site recently I observed a complete area of flats empty locked and barred up in a residential area with proper local services and shops within local walking distance hope this helps you consider this matter in a proper manner not in a

panic urgent matter the most important thing now is to grant a immediate entry to this Site A for a independent ecological survey